UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,150	08/22/2003	Sheldon H. Foss JR.	03001.1020	8917	
	7590 05/23/200 WEIN TEMPEL GREI	EXAMINER			
Two Ravinia Drive			ROSEN, ELIZABETH H		
Suite 700 ATLANTA, GA	A 30346		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, -			3692		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,150	FOSS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	ELIZABETH ROSEN	3692	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount or shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	031160
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-11,13 and 15-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	stice of Appeal will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Nga B. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3692	

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of Claims 1, 5, 21, 22, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph. With regard to Applicant's argument that the limitation of "provide feedback information to the decision engine and the account management component" is disclosed in the specification, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 would be withdrawn in a future office action. Although this limitation is not explicitly taught in Applicant's disclosure, Applicant persuasively argued the limitation is reasonably described in the specification.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to Applicant's argument that the limitation of "provide feedback information to the decision engine and the account management component" is not disclosed in Sgaraglio, Examiner disagrees and the rejection stands. Although Sgaraglio does not explicitly state this limitation using the language of the claim, it is clear that it is essentially disclosed in the reference. At least Paragraph 0037 of Sgaraglio discloses a data aggregation module because the system or computer gathers "merchant information associated with an application by the merchant for credit services." This information is fed to both the decision engine and the account management component. The decision engine is disclosed in Sgaraglio in at least Paragraphs 0039-0040, where application information is used to calculate risk and decide whether to underwrite the application. The account management component is disclosed in Sgaraglio, in at least Paragraph 0042, where the system follows "up on how the account is being serviced." In order for the decision engine and the account management component to perform their functions, it is essential that information be fed to them from the data aggregation module.

/Nga B. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692